Table 6--Receipts of Producer Milk by Handlers Regulated Under Federal Orders, by Marketing Area, 2004 1/

Federal Milk Order Marketing Area	Order Number	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
		Million Pounds												
Northeast 2/	001	1,993	1,901	2,086	1,841	1,895	1,785	1,996	1,891					15,387
Appalachian 3/	005	569	520	500	482	517	548	519	537					4,193
Southeast 2/	007	656	626	656	562	616	647	542	594					4,900
Florida 2/	006	262	249	271	240	261	241	232	220					1,975
Mideast 4/	033	1,513	1,408	1,297	873	919	1,552	1,487	1,546					10,597
Upper Midwest 5/	030	2,209	1,944	675	608	663	2,114	2,202	2,002					12,416
Central 4/	032	1,275	1,163	712	612	652	1,235	1,272	1,231					8,152
Southwest 6/	126	799	727	601	634	672	778	875	778					5,864
Arizona-Las Vegas	131	264	255	266	253	248	241	228	222					1,978
Western 7/8/	135	476	455	165										1,096
Pacific Northwest 6/	124	614	581	601	414	440	594	648	620					4,511
All Markets Combined		10,630	9,831	7,832	6,520	6,882	9,734	10,001	9,640					71,069

<sup>1/</sup> All Markets Combined and TOTAL may not add due to rounding.

<sup>2/</sup> Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in April due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

<sup>3/</sup> Handlers in this marketing area elected not to pool milk in March-May due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

<sup>4/</sup> Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in February-May due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

<sup>5/</sup> Handlers in this marketing area elected not to pool milk in February-August due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

<sup>6/</sup> Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in March-August due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

<sup>7/</sup> Effective April 1, 2004, the Western Federal milk order was terminated.

<sup>8/</sup> Handlers in this marketing area elected not to pool milk in March due to disadvantageous intraorder class and uniform price relationships.